

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,499	04/19/2004	Heinrich Friederich	00635.0371-US-01	00635.0371-US-01 3463	
22865	7590 07/14/2005	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
ALTERA LAW GROUP, LLC		REESE, DAVID C			
6500 CITY WEST PARKWAY SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55344-7704			3677		

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/827,499	FRIEDERICH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David C. Reese	3677	

	LAdillillei	Artonic					
	David C. Reese	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL A brief in compliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be filed within two manths of the date of							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		ducina or simplifyina	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ine issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ećted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·		_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-10</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	ut hafara ar an tha data of filing a N	otics of Appeal will be	at he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13.							
3 mb							
BOBERT J. SANDY ERIMARY EXAMINER							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)



Continuation of 3. NOTE: New Claim 11 would require a further claim rejection, which was not accounted for in the final rejection, so thus it is considered a new issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Gustafson does indeed show: wherein the spring element (7) is a ring which is concentric with respect to the screw axis (vertical line through 2) and which has a workpiece contact (7) which is annular throughout (Fig. 2); and wherein the ring forming the spring element (7) has a plurality of openings (5) distributed uniformly over its periphery. Applicant argues against the slots 5 of Gustafson, but claims later that the instant invention contains a "plurality of openings". The slots 5 of Gustafson can and are indeed considered a "plurality of openings".